

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Daftuar for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's attorney, David W. Foster, on Tuesday, August 26, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney respectfully traversed the Office's rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102(b), and 103(a). Nevertheless, for the sole purpose of expediting allowance and without conceding the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant's attorney also proposed amending the independent claims. For instance, Applicant's attorney proposed amending independent claim 1 to recite "wherein the interaction of the end host as a peer in peer-to-peer fashion in the peer-to-peer system comprises forming a message for delivery to a destination said peer via the one or more neighbor peers thereof." The Office instead suggested further clarifying how the neighbor peer of one peer of the end host is used to communicate with a target peer. Applicant's attorney understood Examiner Daftuar to agree as to the differences in subject matter between the claims and the cited references, however no formal agreement regarding claim language was reached.

Applicant thanks Examiner Daftuar for this indication, as well as for the courtesy shown by interviewing this matter.